I am distressed by Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to require that their stationsair an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election

Since it uses the public airwaves free of charge, Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But what we get from large companies like them is a homogenized message that serves their own economic and marketing intererests.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to verify that the public interest is really being served.